

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,792	03/26/2004	Naoya Kobayashi	1417-458	7134
23117	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			POULOS, SANDRA K	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		COOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1714	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,792	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sandra K. Poulos	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	March 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/04.		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (US 6,413,639) [= EP 1 088 853] in view of EP 301509.

Kobayashi discloses Mg-Al based hydrotalcite type particles comprising a Mg-Al hydrotalcite core with a coating layer that is a higher fatty acid, organosilane compounds or rosins, wherein the plate surface diameter is from 0.3 to less than 1.0 μm (col 28). The hydrotalcite has a BET value is from 7 to 30 m²/g and a pH of 7.5 to 9.5 (col 28). The hydrotalcite undergoes heat processing (col 4, lines 23-42). The hydrotalcite is added to a vinyl chloride resin in the amounts given (col 4, lines 30-39).

Kobayashi does not disclose that (1) a surface layer of Mg-Zn-Al based hydrotalcite on the core of the particle and (2) the hydrotalcite has a refractive index being adjustable to a required value in the range of 1.48 to 1.56.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,792 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

EP 509 discloses a Mg-Zn-Al hydrotalcite for use in synthetic resin films and resin molding compositions (pg 2, lines 1-16). The hydrotalcite improves the blocking resistance and transparency of the synthetic resin, without inconveniently abrading working machines (pg 2, lines 4-19). It would have been obvious to incorporate the hydrotalcite of EP 509 as a surface layer on the particles in Kobayashi in order to improve antiblocking properties (pg 2, lines 4-19; pg 4, lines 42-44). Additionally, it would have been obvious to make the refractive index from 1.48 to 1.55 in order to avoid excessive abrasion characteristics (pg 4, lines 8-16).

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of EP 301509 as applied to claims 1, 3-11 above, and further in view of JP 2000- 290451 (wherein the JPO computer translation is used hereafter, pending full translation).

The discussion with respect to Kobayashi and EP 509 in paragraph 1 above is incorporated herein by reference.

Kobayashi and EP 509 do not discuss the molar ratio of zinc.

JP 451 discloses Mg-Zn-Al hydrotalcite particles combined vinyl chloride resin (abstract). The molar ratio of zinc is 0.001-0.008, which overlaps the lower end of the currently claimed range (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the range of zinc given above so that the particles are not enlarged and allow the composition to have good heat resistance (abstract; para 23).

Art Unit: 1714

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

JP 223 discloses preparing a resin additive that consists of hydrotalcite coated particles (abstract). When compared to with uncoated particles, the coated particles give improved flow, markedly improved abrasion resistance, scratch resistance, and antiblocking properties (abstract).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra K. Poulos whose telephone number is (571) 272-6428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cam Lagarnethan

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

SKP